

Magnetic and orbital order in overdoped bilayer manganites

Tulika Maitra^{*1} and A. Taraphder^{†2}

^{*}Max-Planck-Institut für Physik Komplexer Systeme
Nöthnitzer Str. 38 01187 Dresden, Germany

[†]Department of Physics & Meteorology and Centre for Theoretical Studies,
Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur 721302 India
and
Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics
Strada Costiera 11, 34014 Trieste, Italy.

Abstract

The magnetic and orbital orders for the bilayer manganites in the doping region $0.5 < x < 1.0$ have been investigated from a model that incorporates the two e_g orbitals at each Mn site, the inter-orbital Coulomb interaction and lattice distortions. The usual double exchange operates via the e_g orbitals. It is shown that such a model reproduces much of the phase diagram recently obtained for the bilayer systems in this range of doping. The C-type phase with $(\pi, 0, \pi)$ spin order seen by Ling et al. appears as a natural consequence of the layered geometry and is stabilised by the static distortions of the system. The orbital order is shown to drive the magnetic order while the anisotropic hopping across the e_g orbitals, layered nature of the underlying structure and associated static distortions largely determine the orbital arrangements.

PACS Nos. 75.47.Gk, 75.30.Et

It has been realised [1, 2, 3] in the recent past that the physics of the region $x > 0.5$ is quite different from that in the $x < 0.5$ for the 3D manganites and one has to look at the heavily doped ($x > 0.5$) manganites from a different perspective. A similar situation prevails [4] in the bilayer manganites, the $n = 2$ member of the Ruddelsden-Popper series $(R, A)_{n+1}Mn_nO_{3n+1}$ (where R and A are rare-earth and alkaline-earth ions respectively) as well. The doping region $0 < x < 0.5$ for bilayer manganites has been investigated in some detail and a rich variety of phases identified. These layered systems also show large magnetoresistance (MR) and a sequence of magnetic phases [5, 6] like their 3D counterparts. From a ferromagnetic (FM) state at low doping ($x \simeq 0$) to canted antiferromagnetic (AFM) metallic to AFM insulating state between $x = 0.37$ to $x = 0.48$ have been reported [6, 7, 8]. At $x = 0.5$ there is a possible coexistence between charge ordered (CE-type) and layered A-type spin ordered state [7, 9].

¹email: tulika@mpipks-dresden.mpg.de

²email: arghya@phy.iitkgp.ernet.in, arghya@cts.iitkgp.ernet.in

The region $x > 0.5$ has now been investigated [4, 10] carefully using neutron scattering and a succession of magnetic phases $A \rightarrow C \rightarrow G$ has been observed. Between the A- and C-type phases (between $0.66 < x < 0.74$), there appears a region of no well-defined long range order (LRO). Beyond $x > 0.74$ the AF C-type spin order is seen (along with a polytype, where the long c-axis is doubled). Interestingly, in the C-phase (or its polytype), the spins are aligned in the long basal plane b-axis, along which there is a distortion concomitant at $x = 0.74$. In addition, both A-type and C-type phases have been found to be orbitally ordered. There is no evidence of canting of spins in the region $x > 0.5$.

The role of orbitals on the underlying magnetic order is stressed [2] already in the context of the various magnetic structures of the 3D manganites. Models have been proposed [1, 3] for the manganites that incorporate the e_g orbitals and the anisotropic hopping between them. It was also realized that the inter-orbital interaction is quite crucial for the underlying orbital order [3, 11]. The use of such models to the bilayer manganites (like $\text{La}_{2-2x}\text{Sr}_{1+2x}\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_7$) has only had limited success though [13, 14]. The quasi two-dimensional nature of the underlying lattice stabilises the A-type layered magnetic structure and the models have not been able to reproduce the observed C-type one-dimensional magnetic structure. The A-type AFM instability is indeed quite strong in the layered system (see fig. 1 in Ling et al [4]), extending from $x = 0.42$ to 0.66 . Moreover, at low temperatures, the CE-type spin and charge order seems to be absent and replaced by the A-type spin order [12], even at $x = 0.5$. On the other hand, there is a tetragonal to orthorhombic transition (elongation of the basal plane b-axis [4, 10]) near $x = 0.74$ where the C-phase appears. There is no buckling of the octahedra associated with these distortions. The nature of spin and orbital ordering, as suggested by Ling et al. [4] and Qiu et al. [10], clearly points to the role of the electron-lattice coupling and the resulting elongation of the b-axis on the magnetic and orbital structure. Both the A- and C-phases are orbitally ordered and there is intimate connection between the preferred orbital orders, the lattice distortions and the magnetic order.

The experimental observations and theoretical understanding generated for the heavily hole-doped 3D manganites quite naturally lead to a model for the bilayer manganites in the region of doping $x > 0.5$. The model incorporates the degenerate e_g manifold and the physics of double exchange (DE) along with electron-electron and electron-lattice interactions. Such a model is given by

$$H = J_{AF} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j - J_H \sum_i \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_i - \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma, \alpha, \beta} t_{i,j}^{\alpha\beta} c_{i,\alpha,\sigma}^\dagger c_{j,\beta,\sigma} + H_{int} + H_{e-l} \quad (1)$$

Here $\mathbf{S}_i$ and $\mathbf{s}_i$ represent the t_{2g} and e_g spins at site i and J_H and J_{AF} are the Hund and super-exchange (SE) coupling respectively. The usual charge and spin dynamics of the conventional DE model operate here too, with additional degrees of freedom coming from the degenerate e_g orbitals (α, β take values 1 and 2 for the two e_g orbitals). The hopping across them is determined by the symmetry of e_g orbitals. The term $H_{int} = U' \sum_{i\sigma\sigma'} \hat{n}_{i1\sigma} \hat{n}_{i2\sigma'}$ describes on-site inter-orbital interaction. The intra-orbital term does not play a significant role for the typical values of J_H one is working with [11, 16]. The inter-bilayer exchange interaction is known to be at least a 100 times weaker [17] than the intra-bilayer one. Two bilayers are also well-separated

in an unit cell and intervened by the rare-earth ions. This allows us to consider only one bilayer for the calculations that follow.

At $x = 1$ the e_g band has no electrons and the physics is governed entirely by the AF superexchange between the neighbouring t_{2g} spins. On doping, the band begins to fill up (with nominal electron-density $\frac{1-x}{4}$). In the absence of electron-lattice coupling, the kinetic energy (KE) of electrons in the e_g band along with the attendant Hund's coupling between t_{2g} and e_g spins begin to compete with the antiferromagnetic SE interaction leading to a rich variety of magnetic and orbital structures. The JT distortions, through the local electrostatic coupling (acting as an 'orbital magnetic field'), lift the degeneracy of the e_g orbitals and affect the DE mechanism considerably.

The coupling between the e_g manifold and lattice is incorporated through a term in H [18],

$$H_{e-l} = g \sum_{i,m} \tau_{i,m} \mathbf{Q}_{i,m}$$

where $Q_{i,m}$ ($m = 1, 2$) are the even-parity local distortions of an MnO_6 octahedron and τ_1 and τ_2 are the first and third Pauli matrices. A positive sign of g renders the $3z^2 - r^2$ orbital stable over $x^2 - y^2$ orbital for Q_3 distortion as there is negative charge on the surrounding oxygen ions.

Writing $Q_{i,1} = r_i \sin \theta_i$ and $Q_{i,2} = r_i \cos \theta_i$, H_{e-l} is diagonalised by the unitary transformation in the local e_g orbital space to $S_i H_{e-l} S_i^-$ where $\mathbf{S}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta_i}{2} & \sin \frac{\theta_i}{2} \\ -\sin \frac{\theta_i}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta_i}{2} \end{pmatrix}$. The choice of θ_i determines the orthogonal combination of orbitals and is dictated by the physics at hand. In addition, the orbital pseudospin operator turns out to be $\langle \vec{\tau}_i \rangle = (\sin \theta_i, 0, \cos \theta_i)$. The hopping matrices $t_{\alpha,\beta}$ along x, y, z directions, therefore, transform as $S_i t^{\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}} S_i^-$. The rotational symmetry in the orbital space implies H_{int} remains invariant.

The diagonalisation of the KE part of H leads to two bands. In the pure (uncanted) phases the bands in A- and C-phases become purely two- and one-dimensional. However, even in the presence of canting there is little dispersion along the AFM aligned directions - a plane in C-phase or a line in A-phase. Typical values of the interaction and band parameters for the bilayer systems are in the same range as in the 3D manganites. The Hund coupling and Coulomb correlations are the largest scale of energy [16, 11] in the problem. Treating the t_{2g} spins classically, the SE contribution to the ground state energy becomes $E_{SE} = \frac{J_{AF} S_0^2}{2} (2 \cos \theta_{xy} + \cos \theta_z)$ where θ_{xy} and θ_z are the angle between the near-neighbour (nn) t_{2g} spins in the xy plane and z direction respectively.

For an uncanted homogeneous spin configuration in the ground state, we choose $\mathbf{S}_i = \mathbf{S}_0 \exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i)$ where the choice of $\mathbf{q}$ determines different spin arrangements for the t_{2g} spins [3]. We begin our discussion by considering the model without the Coulomb interaction terms U' . The nn Coulomb interaction and its effects will be dealt with later.

Using the semi-classical approximation for the t_{2g} spins the Hamiltonian (1) reduces to an 8×8 matrix. The distortions are assumed to be uniform ($r_i = \sqrt{Q_1^2 + Q_3^2} = r$). In almost all the manganites, the JT energy scales ($2|gr|$) are nearly in the same order as the bandwidth, about 1eV . A typical value of $|gr|$ is therefore about 0.5eV at $x = 0.55$ [15], where the

tetragonal distortion is largest, Mn-Mn distance along c-direction shortest. The value of gr gradually decreases with increasing x as the c-axis elongates and vanishes by $x \simeq 0.9$. Around $x = 0.75$ there is a tetragonal to orthorhombic transition, with slight elongation of the basal b-axis disappearing by about $x = .92$. It is argued [10] that due to possible delocalisation of e_g electrons, the self-consistent JT scale around $x = 0.55$ could be much less. On the other hand there is evidence of charge ordering close to this region [8, 9, 10], which would lead to incipient localisation of charges. Nevertheless, the scale of static JT distortion used here is the bare value corresponding to an MnO_6 octahedron.

We use mean-field approximation [3, 11, 14] to treat the Hamiltonian. This is shown to work quite well for the ground state properties [11] in the 3D manganites. The mean-field Hamiltonian is diagonalised at each $\mathbf{k}$ -point on a momentum grid. The ground state energy is calculated for different magnetic structures. We consider four different magnetic structures relevant for the experimental phase diagram. These are (with q values in the parentheses) A-type $(0, 0, \pi)$, C-type $(\pi, \pi, 0)$ -we call as C-type the usual C-phase with FM chains along c-direction, C'-type $(\pi, 0, \pi)$ and the 3D AFM G-type (π, π, π) . The third one is the same as a C-type, only that its FM ordering is along b-direction as reported by Ling et al. The magnetic structure with minimum ground state energy is determined for each set of parameters (x, J_H, J_{AF}) for the range of doping $(0.5 < x \leq 1)$ for a given distortion. Fig. 1a shows the ground state energy (all energies are measured in terms of $t_{22}^z = t = 0.25eV$) with doping $0.5 < x < 1.0$ for typical values of exchange interactions for $|gr| = 0$ and 2.0 (along the c-axis) and Fig. 1b shows the same with a distortion along b-direction nearly half the magnitude. The energies for $|gr| = 0$ are offset by 0.2 in order for better viewing.

On shortening the bond lengths along c-axis, the energy of the C-phase rises while energies of both A and C' phases go down. A-phase with its planar FM magnetic and orbital order (discussed below) is clearly favoured over the C-phase with out-of-plane FM magnetic (orbital) order. The C'-phase, with FM spin order along b-direction, also gains from the contraction in c-direction. This is even more apparent in Fig. 1b where an elongation in basal b-direction stabilises C'-phase further. As reported in previous work [13, 14, 19] A-phase instability is quite strong in the layered manganites owing to the 2D structure of the DOS. The static distortion along b-direction stabilises both A and C' phases, while the gain in stability of C' phase is larger than that of A primarily due to its 1D magnetic (and orbital) order along b-direction.

The phases A and C' are both orbitally ordered. Shown in fig. 2, the A-phase has planar $x^2 - y^2$ order while the C' phase has $3y^2 - r^2$ order. The orbital densities do not change over continuously, there is an abrupt change across the A-C' transition between the two sets of orthogonal orbitals indicating a first order transition between them. A strong orbital order is also seen [11] in exact diagonalisation study. Although the staggered orbital order is favoured close to half-filling, the second order $t^2/|gr|$ process is inoperative at this low electron-doped region where orbitals are mostly unoccupied.

A phase diagram is then obtained in the $|gr| - x$ plane for typical values of $J_H S_0$ and $J_{AF} S_0^2$. It is observed (Fig. 3a) that with increasing $|gr|$ along c-direction, the C' state stabilises slightly. The GC' boundary is hardly affected as there are few electrons there. The large x part of the phase diagram is similar to 3D manganites primarily due to the absence of any significant

energy scales other than SE energy at such low electron-densities and reproduces the 3D AFM G-phase. The effect of elongation of the b-axis is more prominent as discussed above. The C' phase stabilises considerably over the A phase due to the changes in the occupied e_g DOS with enhanced orbital ordering of $3y^2 - r^2$. The effect of change of bond lengths and consequent enhancement in bare hopping may stabilise A-phase somewhat when the c-axis contracts. The elongation in b-direction can also reduce the hopping in that direction thereby reducing the stability of C'. The stabilisation coming from the static JT effects are expected to be stronger than changes coming from enhanced hopping at the doping regions considered. With changes in bond length less than 10% [4, 10], and the density of electrons low, this effect may not be large. In addition, the spin exchanges also depend on bond length (higher order in t as $J \sim t^2$). Such effects are neglected in the presentation here.

The phase diagrams in $J_H S_0 - x$ (Fig. 4) and $J_{AF} S_0^2 - x$ (Fig. 5) reflect similar physics. To compare the theoretical phase diagram with experiments, in Fig. 5a, we have included the actual distortions between $0.5 < x < 0.92$ with $|gr| = 2.0$ at $x = 0.55$ going down as x increases (by $x = 0.75$ the lattice nearly relaxes in the c-direction) [4]. The distortion in b-direction is smaller and occurs between $0.75 < x < 0.92$. The phase diagram resembles the experimental one, albeit without the region of no spin order between $0.66 < x < 0.74$. The C' phase in Fig. 4a is more stable than that seen in experiments, covering this region of x where no apparent LRO is seen. Although the model recovers the C' phase seen in experiments, rather than the large A-type region observed in previous work [13, 14, 19], it overestimates the stability of this phase even without any static distortion. Note that there is a ferromagnetic phase in fig. 5 at very low J_{AF} where the DE mechanism dominates.

Canting of the magnetic structures $\mathbf{S}_i$ is included via $\mathbf{S}_i = S_0(\sin\phi_i, 0, \cos\phi_i)$ with ϕ_i taking all values between 0 and π . In the G-phase, at large J_H , there is a small canting in the xy -plane ($\sim 8^\circ$, inset in Fig. 4b), while θ_z does not cant. The physics is quite similar to the 3D manganites [3] and the $x \sim 0$ region of bilayer systems [14]. At large J_H in the G-phase the KE gain of the e_g electrons through DE, via the generation of an FM component of the underlying t_{2g} spins, more than offsets the 'cost' of tilting t_{2g} spins away from magnetically ideal AFM state. Tilting in the xy -plane leads, of course, to a larger gain in KE than canting in θ_z , which remains insignificant. At smaller J_H in the G-phase and in the A- and C'-phases, this mechanism is energetically inconsequential and we do not find any canting which is also reflected in the discontinuous (1st. order) change in the orbital order across A-C' transition.

We include the inter-orbital interaction term in the mean-field. As in 3D manganites [3], this term immediately stabilises C' phase. The 1D instability of C' state is more favourably affected by the inter-orbital interaction and preferential occupation of orbitals due to U' . In addition, the higher electron-density in the A-phase makes this phase vulnerable to Coulomb interactions compared to the C' or G phase at lower electron-density [11].

The entire phase diagram with its magnetic and orbital order owes its origin to the competition between DE mechanism, SE interaction, electron-lattice coupling and electron-electron interaction. In the region $x \sim 0.5$, where the electron-density is larger, the DE interaction via the degenerate e_g orbitals dominate. In the reduced dimensionality of the layered structure, the planar $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital order along with DE coupling forces the ab-plane into an FM

configuration. The absence of long range correlation along c-direction and loss of tunnelling across the planes (driven by orbital order) induce AFM ordering in that direction and result in an A-phase. With a contraction of MnO_6 octahedra in the c-direction, this phase further stabilises. Without a coherent charge transport in the c-direction in bilayer systems, the C-phase with $(\pi, \pi, 0)$ magnetic order is unfavourable in comparison to the A-phase as already observed [13, 14]. Towards the $x = 1$ end, where the e_g levels are empty, the SE interaction brings about a (π, π, π) magnetic order as in the 3D case. The C'-phase, on the other hand, allows for coherent tunnelling in the b-direction, its 1D orbital order stabilises on contraction of the c-axis and elongation in the b-direction. At a certain x , as the electron-density reduces, this state stabilises over A-phase. The static JT distortions present in the system stabilises it until the SE interaction takes over at extreme low electron-doping. In the 3D manganites, the orbital order drives the magnetic order [1, 2] in the heavily hole-doped region. In the bilayer systems also, it is the orbital order, driven by the DE mechanism, anisotropic hopping across e_g orbitals and lattice distortions that seems to induce different magnetic phases.

The scenario borne out here is markedly similar to the experimental phase diagram and orbital order (fig. 13 in Ling et al.) in the bilayer manganites. This also agrees quite well with the observed phases in Qiu et al. The existence of a region with no long range magnetic order around $x \sim 0.70$ is quite possibly a result of the competing ground states with such close energies (fig. 1). The A to C' transition being 1st. order in nature here there is a phase separated region (possibly dispersed due to long range Coulomb interactions). It would be interesting to look for inhomogeneous magnetic structures [10] or short range ordered phases (which are dispersed) in that region using more direct imaging techniques. It is also likely that with longer range Coulomb interactions included, charge ordered regions may stabilise close to $x = 0.5$, seen in several experiments [9, 10] recently.

Acknowledgement

We acknowledge useful discussions with S. K. Ghatak, S. D. Mahanti and G. V. Pai. TM acknowledges discussion with S. Wirth and AT acknowledges some clarification of data by C. D. Ling. Research of AT was partly supported by DST (India) through Indo-US project.

References

- [1] J. van den Brink and D. Khomskii, Phys. Rev. Lett **82**, 1016 (1999).
- [2] T. Akimoto et. al, Phys. Rev. B **57**, R5594 (1998).
- [3] Tulika Maitra and A. Taraphder, Europhys. Lett. **59**, 896 (2002); Tulika Maitra and A. Taraphder, cond-mat/0301048.
- [4] C. D. Ling et al., Phys. Rev. B **62**, 15096 (2000).
- [5] T. Kimura et al., Phys. Rev. B **58**, 11081 (1998).
- [6] K. Hirota et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67**, 3380 (1998).
- [7] M. Kubota et al., J. Phys. Chem. Solids **60**, 116 (1999); M. Kubota et al., cond-mat/9902288.
- [8] R. Suryanarayanan et al., Solid State Commun. **113**, 267 (2000).
- [9] A. Coldea et al., cond-mat/0301177.
- [10] X. Qiu et al., cond-mat/0307652.
- [11] T. Hotta, A. Malvezzi and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 9432 (2000); E. Dagotto, T. Hotta and A. Moreo, Phys. Reports **344**, 1 (2001).
- [12] Y. Moritomo et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67**, 405 (1998).
- [13] G. Venkateswara Pai, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 064431 (2001).
- [14] R. Maezono and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B. **61**, 1825 (1998); R. Maezono, S. Ishihara and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B. **58**, 11583 (1998).
- [15] As Ling et al. [4] point out, the electron-lattice coupling vanishes at $x = 1$ and distortion at this point should be taken as the baseline distortion, due only to steric influence of surrounding cations.
- [16] S. Misra, R. Pandit and S. Satpathy, J. Phys. Cond. Matter, **11**, 8561 (1999).
- [17] H. Fujioka et al., cond-mat/9902253; K. Hirota et al., cond-mat/0104535.
- [18] K. Kanamori, J. Appl. Phys. **14S** (1960).
- [19] S. Okamoto, S. Ishihara and S. Maekawa, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 104401 (2001).

Figure captions

- Fig. 1 Ground state energy of different magnetic phases versus hole concentration $x > 0.5$ with and without lattice distortions. In (a) the distortion is in the c-direction while in (b) it is in the b-direction. The $|gr| = 0$ lines have been vertically offset by +0.2 to separate them from the lower bunch.
- Fig. 2. Orbital densities in (a) A- and (b) C'-phase at different values of parameters. In (a) the filled and open symbols are for $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals. In (b), they represent, respectively, the $d_{3y^2-r^2}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals. The A- and C-phases are stable only in part of the range of x (see text). Note the sum of two orbital densities is equal to $(1 - x)/4$, the actual electron density.
- Fig. 3. Magnetic phase diagram in $|gr| - x$ plane. Note the gradual shrinking of the A-phase in the region $x > 0.5$ while the G-phase remains nearly unaffected.
- Fig. 4. (a) Magnetic phase diagram in doping (x) - $J_H S_0$ plane is shown in solid line for experimentally relevant values of $|gr|$. In (b) is shown the effect of U' on the phase diagram (at $|gr| = 0$). The solid line is for $U' = 8$ and the dotted line in (a) and (b) are for $|gr| = U' = 0$. In the inset in (b) is shown the canting of spins (away from π) in the G-phase as a function of $J_H S_0$.
- Fig. 5. Magnetic phase diagram in doping (x) - $J_{AF} S_0^2$ plane. (a) and (b) correspond to similar situations as in Fig. 4 (a),(b).









